Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,982	BATES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BEN C. WANG	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) Gero G. McClellan (Reg. No. 44,227).	(3) <u>BEN C. WANG</u> .	
(2) <u>Johnny Lam</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 July 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 8, 23, 25, and 31		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/661,982

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 6, 23, and 31 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine. Mr. McClellan authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.